

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MW

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/010,215 | 12/04/2001 | Lucas Knopp | 214321 | 214321 7882 | |
| 23460 75 | 590 12/08/2003 | | EXAMINER | | |
| LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 | | | HENCE EVANS, ANDREA | | |
| | TETSON AVENUE | 00 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| CHICAGO, IL | 60601-6780 | | 2854 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/08/2003 | 3 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Marine Marine The | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 10/010,215 | KNOPP ET AL. | | | | |
| | Examin r | Art Unit | ٠ | | | |
| | Andrea H Evans | 2854 | lille | | | |
| Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cov r she t with the correspondenc address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this application to the same of th | cation. A proper replication in the proper replication in the property of the | oly to a cation in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three most earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | terially reducing or s | simplifying the | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NO | OT place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Exan | niner. | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | | | _ | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | |
| | SUPER | NDREW H. HIRSHFEL IVISORY PATENT EXA HNOLOGY CENTER 2 | MINER | | | |

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment would raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search. For example, the pneumatic system as claimed in claim 18 raises a new issue..